

Table 7-1: Sources of Capital Funds for Downtown Circulator Vehicles

	Funding Mechanism			
	Federal	State	Local	Transit Agency Funds
Austin	-	-	-	100% ⁵
Chattanooga²	80%	10%	10% ⁸	-
DART	80%	-	-	20% ⁷
Denver (original vehicles)	80%	-	-	20%
Denver (new fleet)	50%	-	-	50%
Los Angeles	-	-	100% ⁶	-
Miami (remaining 7 original vehicles)¹⁰	13%	54%	33%	-
Miami (4 original and 3 new vehicles)	80%	20% ¹		-
Milwaukee⁴	80%	-	20%	-
Oklahoma City	80%	-	20% ³	-
Orlando (LYNX)⁹	50%	25%	25%	-

¹ Funded through state toll revenues

² Federal funds were Section 5309; State funds were from the TN Department of Transportation;
Local funds were from the City of Chattanooga

³ Funded through MAPS project, which was a 5-year sales tax that funded downtown improvements

⁴ Federal funds were CMAQ; Local funds were provided by the County

⁵ Sales tax, investment income, other revenue sources - exact breakdown unknown

⁶ Proposition A local return funds - based on a one-half percent sales tax levied in Los Angeles County

⁷ Source of transit agency funds is a 1 percent sales tax levied within 13 member cities

⁸ General city funds - source is tax revenue.

⁹ State funds from the Florida Department of Transportation. Local funds were a combination of general city funds and funds from the community redevelopment agency (tax increment financing)

¹⁰ Federal funding for these 7 vehicles was from the Clean Cities Coalition;